

## Materials characterisation and mechanical properties of Cf-UHTC powder composites

Rubio, V.; Binner, J.; Cousinet, S.; Le Page, G.; Ackerman, T.; Hussain, A.; Brown, P.; Dautremont, I.

DOI:

[10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2018.12.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2018.12.043)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Rubio, V, Binner, J, Cousinet, S, Le Page, G, Ackerman, T, Hussain, A, Brown, P & Dautremont, I 2019, 'Materials characterisation and mechanical properties of Cf-UHTC powder composites', *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 813-824. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2018.12.043>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

## Figure Captions

Figure 1: X-ray micro-CT scan of the Cf preform structure.

Figure 2: Shapes and dimension of the samples for mechanical tests.

Figure 3: SEM characterisation of Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composite after C CVI and machining. (a) Across the entire thickness, (b,c) 0° orientation ply, (d,e) 90° orientation ply, (f,g) random orientation ply.

Figure 4: SEM-EDS analysis of a random orientation layer within a Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composite.

Figure 5: Porosity of Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites.

Figure 6: Stress strain curves for Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composite.

Figure 7: Locations analysed by SEM for the fracture arising from the flexural tests.

Figure 8: Low magnification SEM images of Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites after flexural testing. (a) Strongest sample; cross-section fracture view, (b) strongest sample; surface fracture view, (c) weakest sample; cross-section fracture view, (d) weakest sample; surface fracture view.

Figure 9: Locations analysed by SEM for the fracture arising from the interlaminar shear tests.

Figure 10: Low magnification SEM images of Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites after interlaminar shear testing. (a) Strongest sample; part 1 cross-section, (b) strongest sample; part 1 fracture surface, (c) strongest sample; part 2 cross-section, (d) strongest sample; part 2 fracture surface, (e) weakest sample; part 1 cross-section, (f) weakest sample; part 1 fracture surface, (g) weakest sample; part 2 cross-section, (h) weakest sample; part 2 fracture surface.

Figure 11: Locations analysed by SEM for the fracture arising from the compressive tests.

Figure 12: Low magnification SEM images of entire cross-section of the Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites after compressive testing along the plies. (a) Strongest sample (b) weakest sample.

Figure 13: Low magnification SEM images of bottom surface of Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites after compressive testing along the plies. (a,b) Strongest sample (c) weakest sample.

Figure 14: Low magnification SEM images of entire cross-section of Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites after compressive testing across the plies. (a) Strongest sample (b) weakest sample.

Figure 15: Low magnification SEM images of the bottom surface of the Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites after compressive testing across the plies. (a) Strongest sample (b) weakest sample.

Figure 16: Locations analysed by SEM for the fracture arising from the tensile tests.

Figure 17: Low magnification SEM images of Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites after tensile testing at room temperature. (a) Strongest sample; cross-section (b) strongest sample; top surface, (c) weakest sample; cross-section, (d) weakest sample; top surface.

Figure 18: Low magnification SEM images of Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites after tensile testing at 1000° C. (a) Strongest sample; cross-section (b) strongest sample; top surface, (c) weakest sample; cross-section, (d) weakest sample; top surface.

Figure 19: Details of fibre pull-out after tensile strength testing. (a) Sample tested at room temperature, (b) sample tested at 1000°C.

Figure 20: Details of the degradation of the fibres and matrix for samples tensile samples tested at 1000°C.

## **Table Captions**

Table 1: Dimensions and quantity of the Cf preforms and subsequent samples for mechanical testing.

Table 2: Bulk density of Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites after impregnation and after C-CVI and machining.

Table 3: Mechanical properties of Cf-HfB<sub>2</sub> powder composites.